

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 09/316,001 05/21/99 KENDALL R FSC-6 **EXAMINER** HM12/0329 MILLEN WHITE ZELANO & BRANIGAN PC EWOLDT, G ARLINGTON COURTHOUSE PLAZA I ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2200 CLARENDON BOULEVARD **SUITE 1400** 1644 ARLINGTON VA 22201 DATE MAILED: 03/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. G.P.O. 1999 460-693

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/316,001

Applicant(s)

Kendall et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Gerald Ewoldt

Group Art Unit 1644



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 18, 2000	
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for fin accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11; 453 U.G. 213.
shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to oplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 7 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the being to response will cause the
sposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 1-11	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority of the All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	f the priority documents have been nber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
OFF OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOULOWING PAGES

Serial No. 09/316,001 Art Unit 1644 DETAILED ACTION Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, Claims 12-18 in Paper No. 6, filed 1/18/00, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that a complete search for either Group I or II would necessarily include the other. The argument is not found persuasive for the following reasons. In addition to being in separate classes and subclasses, a composition and a method of treatment using said composition require different types of searches; the method of treatment search including different limitations. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Claims 1-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Claims 12-18 are being acted upon. Formal drawings have been submitted which fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.84. Please see the enclosed form PTO-948. Applicant is reminded to change the Brief Description of the Drawings in accordance with these changes The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 3. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention. Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 12, 15-16, and 18 are indefinite in the recitation of "DMG". "DMG" is an ambiguous term with no definition outside the given context. Applicant may obviate this rejection by claiming "dimethylglycine (DMG)". Claims 12-13 and 15-17 are indefinite in the recitation of "PCE". "PCE" is an ambiguous term with no definition outside the given context. Applicant may obviate this rejection by claiming "Perna canaliculus (PCE)". The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The reference teaching differs from the claimed invention in that it does not teach a composition of DMG and PCE administered together and it does not teach a kit comprising a composition of DMG and PCE.

From the teachings of the reference it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to combine the DMG and PCE as taught by Belkowski, in a single mixture for convenience, and to place said mixture in a "kit", comprising nothing more than said mixture in daily dosages, again for convenience.

7. Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,026,728, (1991, IDS) in view of Caughey et al. (1983, IDS) or Gibson et al, (1980, IDS) or U.S. Patent No. 4,455,298 (1984).

The '728 patent teaches a DMG admixture with water for the treatment of inflammatory disease (see particularly column 6, paragraph 3).

The reference teaching differs from the claimed invention only in that it does not teach a combination of DMG with PCE or a kit comprising the composition

Caughey et al. teach a freeze-dried ground whole mussel PCE composition for use as a dietary supplement for the treatment of an inflammatory disease (see particularly page 197, Patients and Methods).

Gibson et al. teach a freeze-dried ground whole mussel PCE composition for use as a dietary supplement for the treatment of an inflammatory disease (see particularly page 955, Patients and Methods).

The '298 patent teaches a freeze-dried ground whole mussel PCE composition for use as a dietary supplement for the treatment of an inflammatory disease (see particularly columns 1 and 2).

Serial No. 09/316,001 Art Unit 1644

From the teachings of the references it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the anti-inflammatory compound DMG, as taught by Kendall et al. with the anti-inflammatory compound/composition of PCE, as taught by Caughey et al. or Gibson et al. or the '298 patent, in a composition for the treatment of inflammation, and to package said composition as a "kit". One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the compounds with similar anti-inflammatory activities in an attempt to produce a composition with enhanced activity and to package said composition as a "kit" for convenience. "It is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose. . . . [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980) (see MPEP 2144.06)

- 8. No claim is allowed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald R. Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1640 Technology Center 1600 March 27, 2000

CHRISTINA Y. CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1800 / 6 Co